

Local Government Dataset*

Jon H. Fiva[†] Askill Halse[‡] Gisle James Natvik[§]

April 27, 2012

Abstract

This panel data set covers Norwegian local governments from 1972 to 2011 and was originally constructed for an analysis of the strategic use of public capital (Fiva and Natvik, 2012). The fiscal policy variables are constructed from account data provided by the Norwegian Social Science Data Service (NSD). The dataset includes detailed information on spending decisions on eight different sectors, local tax policy, elections, and demographics. In this manuscript we give a detailed description of each variable included in the dataset.

When using the data, please cite as follows:

Fiva, Jon H., Askill Halse and Gisle J. Natvik (2012): Local Government Dataset. Available at www.jon.fiva.no/data.htm.

*We are very grateful to Astrid Nilsen at NSD for help with constructing the data set. This dataset is part of the research activities at the center of Equality, Social Organization, and Performance (ESOP) at the Department of Economics at the University of Oslo. ESOP is supported by the Research Council of Norway.

[†]Norwegian Business School. E-mail: jon.h.fiva@bi.no (corresponding author)

[‡]Institute of Transport Economics, Oslo.

[§]Norges Bank.

1 Local Government Structure

The municipalities are the core units in our data set. During the period 1972-2008, the number of Norwegian municipalities varied between 434 and 454. Some municipalities have merged with others or split up, and the new units have then received new official municipality identifying numbers¹ In addition, municipalities have sometimes given away some of their area to other municipalities (Eri, 2004). They then continue to exist with the same identifying number, but in practice the structure might have changed significantly.

Details

- knr: Municipality identifier. Analogous to European Statistical Office NUTS 5 level. These follow the municipality structure given for each year.
- cnr: County identifier. Analogous to European Statistical Office NUTS 3 level.
- year: Year identifier.
- CountyAdm: A dummy equal to 1 if the county administration is located in the municipality.
- Region: A labor market region identifier. The labor market regions were established in 2000 by Statistics Norway² on the basis of information on commuting flows. Analogous to European Statistical Office NUTS4 level.³
- yElection: Year after election. This variable takes the value 1 the first year after the local election (e.g. 1972), 2 the next year, 3 the third and 4 the fourth year.

¹The exceptions are Narvik, which continued to have the same identifier (1805) after it merged with Ankenes in 1974, and Bodø, which kept the number 1804 after having merged with Skjerstad in 2005.

²See http://www.ssb.no/emner/00/00/nos_c616/nos_c616.pdf for more information on the classification. The region identifiers can be downloaded by selecting "Correspondance tables" at <http://www3.ssb.no/stabas/ItemsFrames.asp?ID=1367327&Language=en&VersionLevel=classversion&MenuChoice=Language>.

³We employ the same regional structure for the whole period. All municipality mergers and border changes are within these regional borders, with the exception of Ølen kommune, which in 2002 changed county affiliation and therefore also region affiliation (from 46 to 43). In 2006, Ølen merged with Vindafjord, another municipality in region 43.

- electionperiod: Election period identifier. This variable takes the value 1 for the years 1972-1975, 2 for the years 1976-1979 and so forth.
- borderchange: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality's borders change this year or the next year⁴ *and* the transferred area amounts to at least one percent of the area in the municipality which loses area. Otherwise, it is zero.
- Balanced1: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists for the whole period 1972-1999 with the same municipality code, zero otherwise.
- Balanced2: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists and has the same municipality code in the whole period 1972-1999 AND its borders also do not change (with more than one percent area given away) during this period. Otherwise, it is zero.
- Balanced3: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists for the whole period 1990-2011 with the same municipality code, and zero otherwise.
- Balanced4: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists and has the same municipality code in the whole period 1990-2011 AND its borders also do not change (with more than one percent area given away) during this period. Otherwise, it is zero.
- Balanced5: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists for the whole period 1972-2011 with the same municipality code, and zero otherwise.
- Balanced6: A dummy variable which takes the value one if the municipality exists and has the same municipality code in the whole period 1972-2011 AND its borders

⁴We set the dummy=1 for two and not just one year to avoid inconsistencies in the population variables, since these are calculated differently in the periods 1972-1987 and 1988-2008. (See 'Demographical Variables'.) Before 1988, the effect of a border change will show one year later than it will from 1988 and after.

also do not change (with more than one percent area given away) during this period. Otherwise, it is zero.

2 Demographic and Socio-Economic Variables

Demographic variables for each year are those measured at January 1 for the years following and including 1988. For the years up until and including 1987, they are measured on December 31 the year before.⁵

- pop: The total number of inhabitants in the municipality.⁶
- age06: Share of population aged 0 to 6 years old.
- age715: Share of population aged 7 to 15 years old.
- age1620: Share of population aged 16 to 20 years old.
- age2125: Share of population aged 21 to 25 years old.
- age2630: Share of population aged 26 to 30 years old.
- age3135: Share of population aged 31 to 35 years old.
- age3640: Share of population aged 36 to 40 years old.
- age4145: Share of population aged 41 to 45 years old.
- age4650: Share of population aged 46 to 50 years old.
- age5155: Share of population aged 51 to 55 years old.
- age5660: Share of population aged 56 to 60 years old.
- age6165: Share of population aged 61 to 65 years old.

⁵Data for one municipality (1201 Bergen) is missing for 1972.

⁶This variable is taken directly from official statistics. In a few cases total population differs slightly from the sum of all age groups in the official statistics.

- age6670: Share of population aged 66 to 70 years old.
- age7175: Share of population aged 71 to 75 years old.
- age7680: Share of population aged 76 to 80 years old.
- age81: Share of population aged 81 years and higher.
- children: Share of population at pre-school age: Share of population aged 0 to 6 years old for the period 1972-1996. Share of population aged 0 to 5 years old for the period 1997-2011.
- young: Share of population at school age: i.e. Share of population aged 7 to 15 years old for the period 1972-1996. Share of population aged 6 to 15 years old for the period 1972-1996.
- elderly: Share of population aged 66 years and higher.
- women: The female share of the municipality's population.
- unemployment: The number of registered unemployed persons (yearly average) as share of the total number of inhabitants aged 16-66 years at the end of the year.⁷

3 Elections

The local councils are elected every fourth year in September (1971, 1975, ... , 2007) in an open list proportional representation election system. The new local council elects the mayor and takes spending decisions for the four years following the election year. Our data is organized such that the political variables for years t , $t + 1$, $t + 2$ and $t + 3$ take the values from the last local election at $t - 1$ with the municipality structure at t .⁸

⁷For the period 2000-2011 this variable is defined as the number of registered unemployed persons (yearly average) as share of the total number of inhabitants aged 16-66 years at the *beginning* of the year.

⁸Hence, there will be missing values (59 observations overall) for local electoral variables at some years $t + 1$, $t + 2$ and/or $t + 3$ if the municipality did not exist (with the same identifier code) at t .

National elections are held in September every fourth year and two years after the last local elections ($t + 1$) (1973, 1977, ..., 2009). We use the election results from $t + 1$ and the municipality structure from $t + 2$ for the variables at t , $t + 1$, $t + 2$ and $t + 3$.⁹

Fiva and Folke (2011) provide the following relevant institutional details: "Most of the available party lists that participate in municipal elections also are represented in the national political arena. These eight parties are the Red Electoral Alliance, the Socialist Left Party, the Labor Party, the Centre Party, the Christian Democratic Party, the Liberal Party, the Conservative Party, and the Progress Party. With the exception of the Red Electoral Alliance and the Liberal Party, these six parties have been represented in the national assembly continuously since 1981. There are also smaller political parties that obtain little nationwide support and party independent local lists. Finally, parties may form joint lists where the seats are allocated to the parties jointly. The open list proportional representation system offers both voters and parties instruments for affecting candidate selection. Parties have the option to give some candidates an increased share of the poll, while voters can affect the election outcome by expressing candidate preferences. Together this is the basis for the distribution of seats."

All data is from Statistics Norway's (SSB) election statistics. Most of it is provided by the Norwegian Social Science Data Services (NSD). In addition, we have used information from SSB's publications to get more detailed information on joint, local and other election lists.¹⁰

The main reason for doing this is that in NSD's statistics, joint party lists are reported for the two categories 'socialist' and 'non-socialist' for some local elections, while for others they are lumped together in one category 'joint lists between political parties'. We have re-coded these lists and mayors or deputy mayors associated with them. In addition, we have recoded some local and 'other' lists which we believe fall rather clearly into one of

⁹This causes missing values (33 observations overall) for national electoral variables at some years t , $t + 1$ and/or $t + 3$ if the municipality did not exist (with the same identifier code) at $t + 2$.

¹⁰For the elections 1975-1995 we have consulted the printed publication 'Kommunestyrevalget' (SSB, 1995). For the last three elections, the information is available online under http://www.ssb.no/english/subjects/00/01/valg_en/. For the 1971 election, this information is not available.

the categories left- and right-wing.

Note that a few municipalities have different political systems than the others. In Oslo since 1986 and Bergen since 2000, there has been a parliamentary system. A few other municipalities have electoral systems ('flertallsvalg') where voters vote directly for candidates and not parties. Also, direct election of the mayor has been used for some municipalities in 1999, 2003 and 2007.

3.1 Voteshares vs. LVoteshares

In the dataset we provide two types of voteshares:

- `VoteShareParty`: The fraction of votes cast for a particular political party *ignoring personal votes*.
- `LVoteShareParty`: The fraction of votes cast for a particular political party *taking personal votes into account*.

Since personal votes can be cast to particular candidates from *any* party lists the two sets of voting shares variables will be similar, but not identical. It is the `LVoteShare` data that is used for allocating seats.¹¹ The electoral system is based on proportional representation where each municipality is one electoral district. Seats were allocated using the d'Hondt seat allocation method up till the 1999 election. From the 2003 election the modified Sainte-Laguë seat allocation method have been used. Fiva and Folke (2011) study this electoral reform in detail. Fiva et al. (2012) compare fiscal policy outcomes when a party barely received or did not receive an extra seat. This regression discontinuity (RD) requires information on the exact distribution of votes, which is captured by `LVoteShares`.

¹¹Note that up till the 1995 election official election statistics lumps together votes for parties belonging to the categories independent party list, "other" party list, and joint list. We therefore only have exact `LVoteShares` for all parties for municipalities that had no more than a maximum of one independent party list, one "other" party list, or one joint list (about 90 percent fulfill this criteria). This is captured by the variable 'entydig'. For the period 1971-1979 we have not collected data on `LVoteShares`.

Details

- VoteShareRV: Share of votes for the Red Electoral Alliance (RV) in the last local election.¹²
- VoteShareSV: Share of votes for The Socialist Left Party (SV)¹³ in the last local election.
- VoteShareDNA: Share of votes for the Norwegian Labour Party (DNA) in the last local election.
- VoteShareV: Share of votes for the Liberal Party (V) in the last local election.
- VoteShareSP: Share of votes for the Centre Party (SP) in the last local election.
- VoteShareKrF: Share of votes for the Christian Democratic Party (KrF) in the last local election.
- VoteShareH: Share of votes for the Conservative Party (H) in the last local election.
- VoteShareFrp: Share of votes for the Progress Party (Frp)¹⁴ in the last local election.
- VoteShareOther1 to VoteShareOther6: Shares of votes in the last local election for various political parties obtaining little nationwide support.
- VoteShareIndep1 to VoteShareIndep6: Shares of votes in the last local election for various 'local or non-political lists'.
- VoteShareJointLeft: Share of votes for joint lists between left-wing parties (NKP, RV, SF/SV or DNA) in the last local election. This variable follows the classification made by Statistics Norway (SSB) for the elections in 1971, 1987 and 2003.

¹²From the 2007 election and onwards, this variable measures support for the party with the name "The Red Party". This was formally a newly founded party, but its members were to a very large extent former members of the Red Electoral Alliance.

¹³In the 1971 election the party did not exist, but many of its later supporters were organized in the Socialist People's Party (SF). We use the same variables for this party.

¹⁴The same variable is used for the 1971 and 1975 elections, when the party's name was Anders Lange's Party.

- **VoteShareJointRight**: Share of votes for joint lists between right-wing parties (V, DNF/DLF, SP, KrF, H, Frp) in the last local election. This variable follows the classification made by Statistics Norway (SSB) for the elections in 1971, 1975, 1987 and 2003¹⁵
- **VoteShareLEFT**: Joint share of votes received by NKP, RV, SV/SF, DNA, and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as left-wing.
- **VoteShareRIGHT**: Joint share of votes received by V, DNF/DLF, SP, KrF, H, Frp and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as right-wing.
- **VoteShareOTHER**: Joint share of votes received by all parties or election lists not classified as left-wing or right-wing.
- **LVoteShare[Party name or election list category]**: Share of votes after accounting for personal voting won by the party or type of election list in the last local election.
- **LVoteShareLEFT**: Joint share of votes after accounting for personal voting won in the last local election by NKP, RV, SV/SF, DNA, and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as left-wing.
- **LVoteShareRIGHT**: Joint share of votes after accounting for personal voting won in the last local election by V, DNF/DLF, SP, KrF, H, Frp and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as right-wing.
- **LVoteShareOTHER**: Joint share of votes after accounting for personal voting won in the last local election by all parties or election lists not classified as left-wing or right-wing.
- **entydig**: A dummy which takes the value 1 if we have exact data on all LVoteShare for all parties running in the municipal election, zero otherwise.

¹⁵The only exception is the 2003 election in Herøy municipality, where we have recoded 'the People's list' as belonging to the 'misc' category because we believe it is less clearly right-wing.

- SeatShare[Party name or election list category]: Share of seats won by the party or type of election list in the last local election.
- SeatShareLEFT: Joint share of seats won in the last local election by NKP, RV, SV/SF, DNA, and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as left-wing.
- SeatShareRIGHT: Joint share of seats won in the last local election by V, DNF/DLF, SP, Krf, H, Frp and joint lists, local lists or other lists categorized as right-wing.
- SeatShareOTHER: Joint share of seats won in the last local election by all parties or election lists not classified as left-wing or right-wing.
- TotalSeats: The total number of seats in the municipality council.
- VoteNatRV: Share of votes for the Red Electoral Alliance (RV) in the national election.¹⁶
- VoteNatSV: Share of votes for The Socialist Left Party (SV)¹⁷ in the national election.
- VoteNatDNA: Share of votes for the Norwegian Labour Party (DNA) in the national election.
- VoteNatV: Share of votes for the Liberal Party (V) in the national election.¹⁸
- VoteNatSP: Share of votes for the Centre Party (SP) in the national election.
- VoteNatKrF: Share of votes for the Christian Democratic Party (KrF) in the national election.
- VoteNatH: Share of votes for the Conservative Party (H) in the national election.

¹⁶In the 2009 election, this variable measures support for the "The Red Party".

¹⁷This variable name is also used for the 1973 election, when the Socialist People's Party (SF) ran together with NKP and other socialists in the Socialist Electoral League (also SV in short).

¹⁸For the Liberal Party and other non-socialist parties, this also includes joint list votes which are 'split up' by Statistics Norway for the elections up until and including the 1981 election.

- VoteNatFrp: Share of votes for the Progress Party (Frp)¹⁹ in the national election.
- VoteNatOther: Shares of votes in the national election for a number of different small parties or election lists not classified as left- or right-wing.
- VoteNatLEFT: Share of votes in the national election for NKP, RV, SV or DNA
- VoteNatRIGHT: Share of votes in the national election for V, DNF/DLF, SP, Krf, H, Frp and the Liberal People's Party (DLF) founded in 1992.
- Mayor (and dMayor): A dummy which takes the values²⁰
 - 1 if the (deputy) mayor represents The Socialist Left Party (SV)
 - 2 if the (deputy) mayor represents the Red Electoral Alliance (RV)
 - 11 if the (deputy) mayor represents The Norwegian Labour Party (DNA)
 - 21 if the (deputy) mayor represents the Liberal Party (V)
 - 25 if the (deputy) mayor represents the New People's Party/the Liberal People's Party (DNF/DLF)
 - 31 if the (deputy) mayor represents the Centre Party (Sp)
 - 41 if the (deputy) mayor represents the Christian Democratic Party (KrF)
 - 51 if the (deputy) mayor represents the Conservative Party (H)
 - 55 if the mayor represents the Progress Party (Frp)
 - 61 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list between right-wing parties (V, DNF/DLF, Sp, Krf, H, Frp)
 - 62 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list between two right-wing parties²¹
 - 63 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list between three right-wing parties
 - 64 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list between four right-wing parties
 - 65 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list between five right-wing parties
 - 67 if the (deputy) mayor represents a local or 'other' election list which we have

¹⁹'Anders Lange's party' in 1973 and 1977.

²⁰based on NSD's classification with some alterations

²¹This and the following three codes are only used for the elections in 1975 and 1979

classified as being right-wing

71 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list, local or 'other' election list which we have classified as left-wing

81 if the (deputy) mayor represents a joint list or some other list which has a 'political' name but which cannot be classified as left-wing or right-wing

82 if the (deputy) mayor represents a 'non-political' list²²

83 if the (deputy) mayor represents a local list not classified as left- or right-wing

84 if the mayor represents a list which is either non-political, local or 'other', but where this is not further specified.²³

- MayorLeft: A dummy variable taking the value one if the mayor represents RV, SV, DNA or a local/other list classified as left-wing, zero otherwise.
- MayorRight: A dummy variable taking the value one if the mayor represents V, DNF/DLF, Sp, KrF, H, Frp, a joint list between right-wing parties or a local/other list classified as right wing, and zero otherwise.
- MayorOther: A dummy variable taking the value one if the mayor represents a joint, local or other list not classified as left-wing or right-wing, zero otherwise.
- MayorWoman: A dummy variable taking the value one if the mayor is a woman, zero otherwise.
- FemaleCouncilMembers: Share of seats in the council held by female representatives.
- Coalition: A dummy taking the value one if the mayor is from the left-wing block while the deputy mayor is not, or if the deputy mayor is from the left-wing block while the mayor is not.

²²For the 1983 election, this possibly includes local lists as well. Later on, there are no mayors in this category.

²³This only concerns the 1971 election.

- **ReElection:** A dummy which takes the value one if $MayorLEFT = 1$ in the current election period and the following or if $MayorLEFT = 0$ in the current election period and the following, and zero otherwise. (This variable does hence not take into account changes in power between the right-wing and the 'other'-block.)
- **IncumbentSupport:** Share of votes received by the block of the incumbent (the mayor's block) at the last local election. The right-wing parties/lists and the other parties and election lists not categorized as left-wing are here considered as one block.
- **ChSupport:** The difference (in percentage points) in the share of votes received by the block of the mayor between the local and the following national election for the block of the mayor. Here again right-wing and other parties/lists are considered one block.
- **Herfindahl:** A variable showing the Herfindahl index of party fragmentation in the local council. This index is the sum of the squared shares of total seats each party or election list holds.²⁴
- **Flertallsvalg:** This dummy variable takes the value 1 if the municipality had a so-called 'majority election', where voters vote for candidates instead of party lists.²⁵
- **Turnout:** Casted votes as share of the total number of those who had the right to vote in the last local election.
- **TurnoutNational:** Casted votes as share of the total number of those who had the right to vote in the national election.

²⁴However, if there are several lists included in the same variable, these will count as one list, making the measure incorrect. This is most likely to occur for local lists, since more of these are often represented in the same municipality council. It could also be the case for lists of the type 'Misc' or 'MiscRight' in a few municipalities.

²⁵This only concerns 1252 Modalen (1972-1999), 1151 Utsira (1972-1975) and 1835 Trøna (1972-1979).

- *DirectMayor*: A dummy variable taking the value one if the municipality hold direct elections for the mayor, zero otherwise.

4 Fiscal Policy

The fiscal policy data stem from local governments' accounts and include data on both tax policy and spending for different programs (child care, education, elderly care, health and social, culture, transport, central administration, other).

The account data for the 1972 to 2000-period allow us to distinguish between current expenditures, maintenance and investment. From 2001, and onwards, the organization of the account data was reformed which makes it hard to establish a consistent time series separating current expenditures, maintenance and investment for the entire period.²⁶ For the entire period, 1972-2010, we therefore only report total spending on the various programs.²⁷

All variables are measured in constant NOK 1000 per capita (the variable KPI2011 is used as a deflator).

4.1 Spending Policy 1972-2000

The account data is organized along two dimensions. The first dimension is the *type* of spending or revenue while the other is the *sector* in which the money is spent or earned.

In the account data from NSD, the types are identified by *items* (Norwegian: *poster*), while the sectors are identified by '*chapters*' (Norwegian: *kapitler*). We have added and subtracted items and chapters to achieve the categories in which we are interested.

Current expenditures is 'overall operating expenditures' (item 000-399) minus 'maintenance of buildings and structures' (item 150) and internal transfers (item 390)²⁸. This

²⁶There is also a change in the definitions from 1990/1991 which has made it necessary to reorganize the data somewhat compared to how it looks in NSD's database.

²⁷Spending data for 2011 is not yet available.

²⁸For the period 1991-2000, the codes are different. Operating expenditures are denoted 'item 01-39', maintenance is denoted 'item 15-19' and internal transfers are denoted 'item 38-39'.

is equivalent to the sum of expenditures on wages, equipment, other operating expenditures and external transfers to the social security system, central government, county administration, other municipalities and others. Spending on *maintenance* is item 150. Spending on *investment* is 'overall expenditures for new buildings and new structures' (item 400) in the period 1972-1990, and 'investment in fixed property overall' (item 40-48) in the period 1991-2000. *Sales* is 'income related to new buildings and structures' (item 800) in the first period. In the second period they equal the sum of items 80 and 88, which we have received from NSD on e-mail. These are not available online.

For the variables below, the first part of the variable name identifies the type of spending (or revenues) while the second identifies the sector of spending (or revenues).

Total spending is the sum of current expenditures, maintenance and (gross)investment.

4.2 Spending Policy 2001-2010

Total spending is the sum of (gross) current expenditures and (gross) investment for the various spending programs.

Details

- KPI2011: consumer price index deflator (2011 = 1.00).²⁹
- *currexpChildcare*: Current expenditures on childcare. This variable is constructed using current expenditures for the subchapter 'institutions for the protection of children and youth' (chapter 1.431) for the period 1972-1982, 'childcare' (chapter 1.435-1.439) for the period 1982-1990 and the sum of the subchapters 'municipal childcare' (chapter 1.270-278) and 'non-municipal childcare' (chapter 1.279) for the period 1991-2000.
- *currexpEducation*: Current expenditures on education. This variable is constructed using the main chapter 'education overall' (1.2) for the period 1972-1990 and the

²⁹Collected from Statistics Norway: <http://www.ssb.no/kpi/tab-01.html>

same chapter but with childcare (as defined above) subtracted for the period 1991-2000.

- `currexpElderlycare`: Current expenditures on care for the elderly and disabled. This variable is constructed by summing current expenditures for the subchapters 'elderly homes' (1.451), 'other help for the elderly' (1.459) and 'help arrangements for the homes' (1.46) for the period 1972-1982. For the period 1983-1987, we use 'home nursery' (1.316), 'elderly homes' (1.450-1.453), 'combined elderly and nursery homes' (1.454-1.457), 'elderly dwellings' (1.458-1.459) and 'home nursery' (1.461). For the period 1988-1990, we use 'home nursery' (1.340), 'combined elderly and nursery homes' (1.343-1.344), 'other treatment and care' (1.345-1.349), 'elderly homes' (1.450-1.453), 'combined elderly and nursery homes' (1.454-1.457), 'elderly dwellings' (1.458-1.459) and 'home nursery' (1.461). For the period 1991-2000 we use the subchapter 'treatment of and care for the elderly and disabled' (1.370-389).
- `currexpHealthSocial`: Current expenditures on other health and social services. For the period 1972-1990 this variable is constructed by summing current expenditures for the main chapters 'overall health protection' (1.3) and 'overall social care and social transfers' (1.4) and subtracting childcare and care for the elderly and disabled (as defined above). For the period 1991-2000, it is equivalent to current expenditures for the main chapter 'overall health protection, social services, treatment and care' (1.3) minus care for the elderly and disabled as defined above.
- `currexpCulture`: Current expenditures on cultural services. For the period 1972-1990, this equals the sum of current expenditures for the main chapter 'overall church and cultural purposes' (1.5) and the subchapters 'parks, swimming pools and outdoor life' (1.66) and 'cinemas' (1.74). For the period 1991-2000, we use the main chapter 'overall cultural and church purposes' (1.5).
- `currexpTransport`: Current expenditures on transport and infrastructure. This variable is constructed using the sum of the subchapters 'roads and streets' (1.61.),

'car routes' (1.75), 'trams and suburban railroad' (1.76) and 'infrastructure' (1.85) for the period 1972-1990 and the main chapter 'infrastructure purposes overall' (1.7) for the period 1991-2000.

- `currexpCentralAdm`: Current expenditures on central administration. This variable is constructed using the main chapter 'central administration overall' (1.1) for the period 1972-1990 and 'central administrative bodies and overall common expenditures' (1.1) for the period 1991-2000.
- `currexpOther`: Current expenditures on other purposes. For the period 1972-1990, this includes the main chapters 'overall joint expenditures' (1.0) 'construction and residential purposes' (1.6) 'municipality enterprises' (1.7), 'various purposes' (1.8) and 'taxes, loans and allocations overall' (1.9) with the subchapters 1.61, 1.66, 1.74, 1.75, 1.76 and 1.85 subtracted. For the period 1991-2000, it includes 'expenditures to be distributed' (1.0), 'overall technical purposes' (1.4), 'overall residential purposes, project and commercial purposes' (1.6), 'overall taxes, earmarked contributions etc.' (1.8) and 'overall interests, repayment and use of net operating surplus etc.' (1.9).
- `maintChildcare`: Spending on maintenance in the child care sector, as defined above.
- `maintEducation`: Spending on maintenance in the education sector, as defined above.
- `maintElderlycare`: Spending on maintenance related to care for the elderly and disabled, as defined above.
- `maintHealthSocial`: Spending on maintenance related to other health and social services, as defined above.
- `maintCulture`: Spending on maintenance in the cultural sector, as defined above.

- `maintTransport`: Spending on maintenance related to transport and infrastructure, as defined above.
- `maintCentralAdm`: Spending on central maintenance related to central administration, as defined above.
- `maintOther`: Spending on central maintenance for other purposes. This is constructed in the same way as for current expenditures, except that the chapters 'taxes, loans and allocations overall' (1.9, 1972-1990) and 'interests, repayment and use of net operating surplus' (1.9, 1991-2000) do not exist for maintenance. Moreover, the chapter 'overall taxes, earmarked contributions etc.' (1.8) does not exist prior to 1994.
- `investChildcare`: Expenditures for investment in new structures in the child care sector, as defined above.
- `investEducation`: Expenditures for investment in new structures in the education sector, as defined above.
- `investElderlycare`: Expenditures for investment in new structures used in care for the elderly and disabled, as defined above.
- `investHealthSocial`: Expenditures for investment in new structures used in for health and social services, as defined above.
- `investCulture`: Expenditures for investment in new structures related to cultural services, as defined above.
- `investTransport`: Expenditures for investment in new structures related to transport and infrastructure, as defined above.
- `investCentralAdm`: Expenditures for investment in new structures used in central administration, as defined above.

- investOther: Expenditures for investment in new structures for other purposes. For the period 1972-1990, this includes the main chapters 'overall joint expenditures' (1.0) 'construction and residential purposes' (1.6) 'municipality enterprises' (1.7) and 'various purposes' (1.8) with the chapters 1.61, 1.66, 1.74, 1.75, 1.76 and 1.85 subtracted. For the period 1991-2000, it includes 'overall technical purposes' (1.4) and 'overall residential purposes, project and commercial purposes' (1.6).
- salesChildcare: Income from fixed property in the child care sector, as defined above.
- salesEducation: Income from fixed property in the education sector, as defined above.
- salesElderlycare: Income from fixed property related to care for the elderly and disabled, as defined above.
- salesHealthSocial: Income from fixed property related to other health and social services, as defined above.
- salesCulture: Income from fixed property in the cultural sector, as defined above.
- salesTransport: Income from fixed property related to transport and infrastructure, as defined above.
- salesCentralAdm: Income from fixed property related to central administration, as defined above.
- salesOther: Income from fixed property related to 'other purposes', defined in the same way as for investment.
- totalChildcare: Before 2001, the sum of currexpChildcare, investChildcare, maintChildcare. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for child care (*barnehager*).

- totalEducation: Before 2001, the sum of currexpEducation, investEducation, maintEducation. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for education (*grunnskole*).
- totalElderlycare: Before 2001, the sum of currexpElderlycare, investElderlycare, maintElderlycare. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for elderly care (*pleie og omsorg*).
- totalHealthSocial: Before 2001, the sum of currexpHealthSocial, investHealthSocial, maintHealthSocial. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for health, child protection, social assistance and housing (*kommunehelse, barnevern, sosialtjeneste, bolig*).
- totalCulture: Before 2001, the sum of currexpCulture, investCulture, maintCulture. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for culture and church (*kultur og kirke*).
- totalTransport: Before 2001, the sum of currexpTransport, investTransport, maintTransport. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for roads (*samferdsel*).
- totalCentralAdm: Before 2001, the sum of currexpCentralAdm, investCentralAdm, maintCentralAdm. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for central administration (*administrasjon, styring og fellesutgifter*).
- totalOther: Before 2001, the sum of currexpOther, investOther, maintOther. After 2001, the sum of gross current expenditures (*Driftsregnskapet*) and gross investment (*Investeringsregnskapet*) for industry support, fire protection, planning and infrastructure (*næringsstøtte, brann og ulykke, planlegging, kulturminne, vann, avløp og renovasjon*).

4.3 Tax Policy

Municipalities are largely financed by regulated local tax sharing and grants from the central government. The major local tax choice concerns residential property taxation and user charges (Fiva et al. (2012)). We include information on fees for infrastructure services (sewage, water supply, and collection and management of garbage) which can be seen as implicit taxation.

We also include data on total revenues from property taxation, which is the sum of residential and commercial property taxation. Before 2007, the databases at Statistics Norway do not allow us to decompose these two type of property taxation. Commercial property taxation is predominantly taxes on hydro power production facilities (see Andersen et al. (2010)).

- PerCapPTAX: Revenues from commercial and residential property taxation, NOK 1000 per capita (deflated by KPI2011)
- PTAXrate: The property tax rate. This is restricted to the interval between 0.2 and 0.7 percent of the assessed housing value. Only available from 2007, onwards.
- PerCapPTAXcommercial: Revenues from commercial property taxation, NOK 1000 per capita (deflated by KPI2011). Only available from 2007, onwards.
- PerCapPTAXresidential: Revenues from residential property taxation, NOK 1000 per capita (deflated by KPI2011). Only available from 2007, onwards.
- PTAX120sqm: Residential property tax in NOK for 120 square meter house (deflated by KPI2011). Only available from 2007, onwards.
- PerCapUserCharges: User charges for infrastructure services (sewage, water supply, and collection and management of garbage), NOK 1000 per capita (deflated by KPI2011). This variable is the gross income for infrastructure services (*vann, kloakker (avløp og rensing), renovasjon*) at *Kommuneregnskapet* (1984-1989) and *Driftsregnskapet* (1990-2010).

References

- Andersen, J. J., Fiva, J. H., and Natvik, G. J. (2010). Voting when the stakes are high. CESifo Working Paper Series No. 3167.
- Eri, J. (2004). *Jan Eri's "kommune" summary*. Web resource, available at <http://home.eunet.no/~jери/kommuner.shtml>.
- Fiva, J. and Natvik, G. (2012). Do Re-election Probabilities Influence Public Investment? *Public Choice*. forthcoming.
- Fiva, J. H. and Folke, O. (2011). Mechanical and psychological effects of electoral reform. CESifo Working Paper Series No. 3505.
- Fiva, J. H., Folke, O., and Sørensen, R. (2012). Political representation and fiscal policy: A casual or causal relationship? Unpublished manuscript.
- SSB (1975-1995). Kommunestyrevalget. Technical Report 1-7, Statistics Norway (SSB).