

# HGO4601 Economic geography: Institutions, evolution and sustainability transitions

## Part 1: Setting the stage

Bailey, & Caprotti, F. (2014). The Green Economy: Functional Domains and Theoretical Directions of Enquiry. *Environment and Planning A*, 46(8), 1797–1813.

<https://doi.org/10.1068/a130102p>

Gupta, J., Pouw, N. R. ., & Ros-Tonen, M. A. . (2015). Towards an Elaborated Theory of Inclusive Development. *European journal of development research*, 27(4), 541–559.

<https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2015.30>

Lundvall, B.-Å. (2023). Transformative innovation policy – lessons from the innovation system literature. *Innovation and Development*, 1-18. doi:10.1080/2157930X.2022.2158996

Schot, & Steinmueller, W. (2018). Three frames for innovation policy: R&D, systems of innovation and transformative change. *Research Policy*, 47(9), 1554–1567.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.08.011>

Sheppard. (2020). What's next? Trump, Johnson, and globalizing capitalism. *Environment and planning. A*, 52(4), 679–687. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X20914461>

Vallance, Perkins, H. C., & Dixon, J. E. (2011). What is social sustainability? A clarification of concepts. *Geoforum*, 42(3), 342–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2011.01.002>

## Part 2: Evolutionary economic geography and territorial industrial change

Boschma, & Frenken, K. (2006). Why is economic geography not an evolutionary science? Towards an evolutionary economic geography. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 6(3), 273–302.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbi022>

David. (2007). Path dependence, its critics and the quest for «historical economics». I Hodgson (Red.), *The evolution of economic institutions : a critical reader* (s. 120–142). Edward Elgar.

Frenken, Van Oort, F., & Verburg, T. (2007). Related Variety, Unrelated Variety and Regional Economic Growth. *Regional Studies*, 41(5), 685–697.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400601120296>

Martin, & Sunley, P. (2007). Complexity thinking and evolutionary economic geography. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 7(5), 573–601. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lbm019>

Neffke, Henning, M., & Boschma, R. (2011). How Do Regions Diversify over Time? Industry Relatedness and the Development of New Growth Paths in Regions. *Economic Geography*, 87(3), 237–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-8287.2011.01121.x>

### **Part 3: Institutions and agency in territorial industrial change**

Boschma, R., Coenen, L., Frenken, K., & Truffer, B. (2017). Towards a theory of regional diversification: combining insights from Evolutionary Economic Geography and Transition Studies. *Regional Studies*, 51(1), 31-45. doi:10.1080/00343404.2016.1258460

Fløysand, A., Sjøtun, S. G., Jakobsen, S.-E., Njøs, R., Tvedt, H. L., Gjelsvik, M., & Aarstad, J. (2022). Institutional work, regional key actors, and green industrial restructuring. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift - Norwegian Journal of Geography*, 76(1), 14-28. doi:10.1080/00291951.2022.2040586

Gertler. (2010). Rules of the Game: The Place of Institutions in Regional Economic Change. *Regional Studies*, 44(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343400903389979>

Grillitsch, M., & Sotarauta, M. (2020). Trinity of change agency, regional development paths and opportunity spaces. *Progress in Human Geography*, 44(4), 704-723. doi:10.1177/0309132519853870

Hassink, Isaksen, A., & Trippel, M. (2019). Towards a comprehensive understanding of new regional industrial path development. *Regional studies*, 53(11), 1636–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2019.1566704>

Hodgson. (2006). What Are Institutions? *Journal of Economic Issues*, 40(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2006.11506879>

Hoogstraaten, Frenken, K., & Boon, W. P. . (2020). The study of institutional entrepreneurship and its implications for transition studies. *Environmental innovation and societal transitions*, 36, 114–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2020.05.004>

Jørgensen. (2012). Mapping and navigating transitions—The multi-level perspective compared with arenas of development. *Research Policy*, 41(6), 996–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.03.001>

Mackinnon, Cumbers, A., Pike, A., Birch, K., & McMaster, R. (2009). Evolution in Economic Geography: Institutions, Political Economy, and Adaptation. *Economic Geography*, 85(2), 129–150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-8287.2009.01017.x>

Njøs, Sjøtun, S. G., Jakobsen, S.-E., & Fløysand, A. (2020). Expanding Analyses of Path Creation: Interconnections between Territory and Technology. *Economic geography*, 96(3), 266–288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00130095.2020.1756768>

### **Part 4: Technologies, sociotechnical systems and transition dynamics**

Arthur, W.B. (1989). Competing Technologies, Increasing Returns, and Lock-In by Historical Events. *The Economic journal (London)*, 99(394), 116–131. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2234208>

Bergek, Jacobsson, S., Carlsson, B., Lindmark, S., & Rickne, A. (2008). Analyzing the functional dynamics of technological innovation systems: A scheme of analysis. *Research policy*, 37(3), 407–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.12.003>

Geels, F. W. (2019). Socio-technical transitions to sustainability: a review of criticisms and elaborations of the Multi-Level Perspective. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 39, 187-201. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2019.06.009>

Geels, F. W., & Schot, J. (2007). Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways. *Research Policy*, 36(3), 399-417. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.01.003>

Klitkou, Bolwig, S., Hansen, T., & Wessberg, N. (2015). The role of lock-in mechanisms in transition processes: The case of energy for road transport. *Environmental innovation and societal transitions*, 16, 22–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2015.07.005>

Karnøe, & Garud, R. (2012). Path Creation: Co-creation of Heterogeneous Resources in the Emergence of the Danish Wind Turbine Cluster. *European Planning Studies*, 20(5), 733–752. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2012.667923>

Mäkitie, T., Hanson, J., Steen, M., Hansen, T., & Andersen, A. D. (2022). Complementarity formation mechanisms in technology value chains. *Research Policy*, 51(7), 104559. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2022.104559>

Mäkitie, T., Normann, H. E., Thune, T. M., & Sraml Gonzalez, J. (2019). The green flings: Norwegian oil and gas industry's engagement in offshore wind power. *Energy Policy*, 127, 269-279. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2018.12.015>

Perez, C. (2009). Technological revolutions and techno-economic paradigms. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 34(1), 185-202. doi:10.1093/cje/bep051 %J Cambridge Journal of Economics

#### **Part 4: Sustainability challenges and transitions**

Bridge. (2009). Material Worlds: Natural Resources, Resource Geography and the Material Economy. *Geography Compass*, 3(3), 1217–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2009.00233.x>

Coenen, Benneworth, P., & Truffer, B. (2012). Toward a spatial perspective on sustainability transitions. *Research Policy*, 41(6), 968–979. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.02.014>

Font Vivanco, Kemp, R., & van der Voet, E. (2016). How to deal with the rebound effect? A policy-oriented approach. *Energy policy*, 94, 114–125.

Goos, Manning, A., & Salomons, A. (2014). Explaining job polarization: routine-biased technological change and offshoring. *The American economic review*, 104(8), 2509–2526. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.8.2509>

Grillitsch, M & Asheim, B (2022). Bouncing back, forward, and beyond: Towards regenerative regional development in responsible value chains. *Papers in Innovation Studies* 2022(11), CIRCLE

Henning, & Eriksson, R. H. (2021). Labour market polarisation as a localised process: evidence from Sweden. *Cambridge journal of regions, economy and society*, 14(1), 69–91. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsaa030>

Jakobsen, Fløysand, A., & Overton, J. (2019). Expanding the field of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) - from responsible research to responsible innovation. *European planning studies*, 27(12), 2329–2343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2019.1667617>

Martin, Sunley, P., Gardiner, B., Evenhuis, E., & Tyler, P. (2018). The city dimension of the productivity growth puzzle: the relative role of structural change and within-sector slowdown. *Journal of economic geography*, 18(3), 539–570. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeg/lby008>

Matt Vidal. (2013). Postfordism as a dysfunctional accumulation regime: a comparative analysis of the USA, the UK and Germany. *Work, employment and society*, 27(3), 451–471. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950017013481876>

Rodríguez-Pose. (2020). The Rise of Populism and the Revenge of the Places That Don't Matter. *LSE Public Policy Review*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.31389/lseprr.4>

Schot, & Kanger, L. (2018). Deep transitions: Emergence, acceleration, stabilization and directionality. *Research Policy*, 47(6), 1045–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.03.009>

Steen, & Hansen, G. H. (2018). Barriers to Path Creation: The Case of Offshore Wind Power in Norway. *Economic Geography*, 94(2), 188–210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00130095.2017.1416953>

Susur, & Karakaya, E. (2021). A reflexive perspective for sustainability assumptions in transition studies. *Environmental innovation and societal transitions*, 39, 34–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2021.02.001>

Thapa, R. K., Iakovleva, T., & Foss, L. (2019). Responsible research and innovation: a systematic review of the literature and its applications to regional studies. *European Planning Studies*, 27(12), 2470-2490. doi:10.1080/09654313.2019.1625871

Vallance, Perkins, H. C., & Dixon, J. E. (2011). What is social sustainability? A clarification of concepts. *Geoforum*, 42(3), 342–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2011.01.002>

Weber, K. M., & Rohracher, H. (2012). Legitimizing research, technology and innovation policies for transformative change Combining insights from innovation systems and multi-level perspective in a comprehensive 'failures' framework. *Research Policy*, 41(6), 1037-1047. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2011.10.015

**Total: aprox. 653 pages**

### **Part 5: Supplementary case study readings**

Affolderbach. (2011). Environmental Bargains: Power Struggles and Decision Making over British Columbia's and Tasmania's Old-Growth Forests. *Economic Geography*, 87(2), 181–206. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-8287.2011.01107.x>

De Laurentis. (2015). Innovation and Policy for Bioenergy in the UK: A Co-Evolutionary Perspective. *Regional Studies*, 49(7), 1111–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2013.834320>

Dewald, & Truffer, B. (2012). The Local Sources of Market Formation: Explaining Regional Growth Differentials in German Photovoltaic Markets. *European Planning Studies*, 20(3), 397–420. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2012.651803>

Gibbs, & O'Neill, K. (2014). Rethinking Sociotechnical Transitions and Green Entrepreneurship: The Potential for Transformative Change in the Green Building Sector. *Environment and Planning A*, 46(5), 1088–1107. <https://doi.org/10.1068/a46259>

Gibson, & Warren, A. (2016). Resource-Sensitive Global Production Networks: Reconfigured Geographies of Timber and Acoustic Guitar Manufacturing. *Economic Geography*, 92(4), 430–454. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00130095.2016.1178569>

Lovio, & Kivimaa, P. (2012). Comparing Alternative Path Creation Frameworks in the Context of Emerging Biofuel Fields in the Netherlands, Sweden and Finland. *European Planning Studies*, 20(5), 773–790. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2012.667925>

Thorsøe, Kjeldsen, C., & Noe, E. (2017). It's never too late to join the revolution! - Enabling

new modes of production in the contemporary Danish food system. *European planning studies*, 25(7), 1166–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2016.1267112>

**Total supplementary case readings: 149 pages**