

SOS4013 H24 Klasse og ulikhet - sentrale debatter

Ulikhet og mobilitet: Perspektiver på samfunnssendring og drivkraftene bak

1. Kap. 8: Class analysis and the reorientation of class theory: the case of persisting differentials in educational attainment. I: *On sociology : numbers, narratives, and the integration of research and theory*. Oxford University Press; 2000:161–181.
2. Grusky DB, Maclean A, Maclean A (Editor), Grusky DB (Editor). The Social Fallout of a High-Inequality Regime. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*. 2016;663(1):33–52. doi:10.1177/0002716215596946
3. UT:Atkinson AB, Piketty T, Saez E. Top Incomes in the Long Run of History. *Journal of Economic Literature*. 2011;49(1):3–71. doi:10.1257/jel.49.1.3
4. DiPrete TA. The Impact of Inequality on Intergenerational Mobility. *Annual review of sociology*. 2020;46(1):379–398. doi:10.1146/annurev-soc-121919-054814
5. Goldthorpe JH. Social class mobility in modern Britain: changing structure, constant process. *Journal of the British Academy*. 2016;4:89–111. doi:10.5871/jba/004.089

UT 6. Wiborg ØN, Hansen MN. Change over Time in the Intergenerational Transmission of Social Disadvantage. *European Sociological Review*. 2009;25(3):379–394.
doi:10.1093/esr/jcn055

Inn: Beckert, Jens, Durable Wealth: Institutions, Mechanisms, and Practices of Wealth Perpetuation. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 2022, Vol. 48:233-255

Inn: Hansen, M. N., & Toft, M. (2021). Wealth Accumulation and Opportunity Hoarding: Class-Origin Wealth Gaps over a Quarter of a Century in a Scandinavian Country. *American Sociological Review*, 86(4), 603-638. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00031224211020012>

Utdanning og ulikhet: kulturelle perspektiver

7. Kap. 8: Class analysis and the reorientation of class theory: the case of persisting differentials in educational attainment. I: *On sociology : numbers, narratives, and the integration of research and theory*. Oxford University Press; 2000:161–181.
8. Kap. 1 & 3. I: *Privilege : the making of an adolescent elite at St.Paul's school*. Princeton University Press; 2011:60.
9. Kap. 1-2. I: *Unequal childhoods : class, race, and family life*. University of California Press; 2003:1–31.

10. Lareau A, Weininger E. Cultural capital in educational research: A critical assessment. *Theory and Society*. 2003;32(5):567–606. doi:10.1023/B:RYSO.0000004951.04408.b0

11. Jessica McCrory Calarco. Coached for the Classroom: Parents' Cultural Transmission and Children's Reproduction of Educational Inequalities. *American sociological review*. 2014;79(5):1015–1037. doi:10.1177/0003122414546931

Meritokrati

12. Kap. 3: Meritocracy. I: *Equality*. Polity; 2007:53–77.

UT Goldthorpe J. Problems of Meritocracy. I: *Can education be equalized? : the Swedish case in comparative perspective*. Westview Press; 1996:255–287.

Saunders P. Meritocracy and Popular Legitimacy. *Political Quarterly*. 2006;77(s1):183–194. doi:10.1111/j.1467-923X.2006.00794.x

15. Swift A. Would Perfect Mobility be Perfect? *European Sociological Review*. 2004;20(1):1–11. doi:10.1093/esr/20.1.1

16. Mijns JJB. The paradox of inequality: income inequality and belief in meritocracy go hand in hand. *Socio-economic review*. Published online 2019. doi:10.1093/ser/mwy051

INN: Michael Holmqvist, Consecration and meritocracy in elite business schools: The case of a Swedish student union. *British Journal of Sociology*, 2023;74:531–546

INN: Michael J. Sandel , HOW MERITOCRACY FUELS INEQUALITY—PART I The Tyranny of Merit: An Overview. *AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW AND EQUALITY*, ISSUE 1, pp. 4-14. 2021

Perspektiver i klasseanalyse

17. Breen R. Foundations of a neo-Weberian class analysis. I: Wright EO, red. *Approaches to class analysis*. Cambridge University Press; 2005:X, 212 s.

18. Weininger EB. Foundations of a Pierre Bourdieu's class analysis. I: Wright EO, red. *Approaches to class analysis*. Cambridge University Press; 2005:X, 212 s.

19. Grusky DB, Galescu G. Foundations of a neo-Durkheimian class analysis. I: Wright EO, red. *Approaches to class analysis*. Cambridge University Press; 2005:152–179.

20. Weeden KA, Grusky DB. The three worlds of inequality. *The American journal of*

sociology. 2012;117(6):1723–1785. doi:10.1086/665035

21. Goldthorpe JH. Occupational Sociology, Yes: Class Analysis, No: Comment on Grusky and Weeden's Research Agenda. *Acta Sociologica*. 2002;45(3):211–217. doi:10.1177/000169930204500303

22. Kapittel 1,7 & 9. I: *Understanding class*. Verso; 2015:XI, 260 s.

Kultur og politikk

Peterson, Richard A., og Roger M. Kern. 1996. «Changing Highbrow Taste: From Snob to Omnivore». *American sociological review* 61(5):900–907. doi: 10.2307/2096460.

UT: Lizardo og Skiles . 2015. «After omnivorousness: is Bourdieu still relevant?» S. 500 s. i *Routledge international handbook of the sociology of art and culture*. London: Routledge.

INN: Baumann, Kennedy & Johnston 2022. «Moral and aesthetic consecration and higher status consumers' tastes: The “good” food revolution», i Poetics.

INN: Yalvaç, N. S., & Karademir Hazır, I. (2021). Do Omnivores Perform Class Distinction? A Qualitative Inspection of Culinary Tastes, Boundaries and Cultural Tolerance. *Sociology*, 55(3), 469-486.

UT: Prieur, Annick, og Mike Savage. 2013. «EMERGING FORMS OF CULTURAL CAPITAL». *European Societies: Focused Issue: Art Markets and Sociology of Culture* 15(2):246–67. doi: 10.1080/14616696.2012.748930.[1]

INN: Prieur, A., Savage, M., & Flemmen, M. P. (2023). Distinctions in the making: A theoretical discussion of youth and cultural capital. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 74(3), 360–375. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.13002>

Flemmen, Magne P., Vegard Jarness, og Lennart Rosenlund. 2019. «Class and status: on the misconstrual of the conceptual distinction and a neo-Bourdiesuan alternative». *British Journal of Sociology* 70(3):816–66. doi: 10.1111/1468-4446.12508.

UT: Pierre Bourdieu (1930-2002). 2008. «Innledning til Distinksjonen (1979)». S. 561 s. i *Estetisk teori : en antologi*. Oslo: Universitetsforl.

UT: Bourdieu, P. 1993b. «Public opinion does not exist». S. VIII, 184 s. i *Sociology in question*. London: Sage.

UT: Bourdieu, P Anon. 1993a. «Culture and politics». S. VIII, 184 s. i *Sociology in question*. London: Sage.

INN: Friedman, S., & Reeves, A. (2020). From Aristocratic to Ordinary: Shifting Modes of Elite Distinction. *American Sociological Review*, 85(2), 323-350.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122420912941>

Trizzulla, Caterina, Renaud Garcia-Bardidia, og Eric Rémy. 2016. «From Bourdieu to Lahire: Social determinism and pluralist consumer practices». *Recherche et applications en marketing (English edition)* 31(1):85–102. doi: 10.1177/2051570716629402.

Lahire, Bernard. 2008. «The individual and the mixing of genres: Cultural dissonance and self-distinction». *Poetics (Amsterdam)* 36(2):166–88. doi: 10.1016/j.poetic.2008.02.001.

UT: Lamont. 1992. «Kap. 1 & 5». S. XXIX, 320 s. i *Money, morals and manners : the culture of the French and American upper-middle class*. Chicago, Ill.: University of Chicago Press.

INN: Vassenden, A., & Jonvik, M. (2023). Live and let live? Morality in symbolic boundaries across different cultural areas. *Current Sociology*, 71(3), 450-469.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/00113921211034892>

UT: Ljunggren, Jørn. 2017. «Elitist Egalitarianism: Negotiating Identity in the Norwegian Cultural Elite». *Sociology* 51(3):559–74. doi: 10.1177/0038038515590755.

INN: Jarness, V. & Friedman S (2017) ‘I’m not a snob, but...’: Class boundaries and the downplaying of difference. *Poetcis*, 61, 14-25

UT: Vandebroeck, Dieter. 2020. «Making Sense of the Social, Making the ‘Social Sense’: The Development of Children’s Perception and Judgement of Social Class». *Sociology (Oxford)* 1–20. doi: 10.1177/0038038520977803.

INN: Damhuis, K., & Westheuser, L. (2024). Cleavage politics in ordinary reasoning: How common sense divides. *European Societies*, 1–37.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616696.2023.2300641>

INN: Noordzij, K., de Koster, W., & van der Waal, J. (2021). A revolt of the deplored? The role of perceived cultural distance in the educational gradient in anti-establishment politics. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 72, 1448–1463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12892>

Hvorfor oppstår boligsegregering - og hva er konsekvensene?

35. Massey DS. Segregation and the Perpetuation of Disadvantage. I: Brady D, Burton LM, red. *The Oxford Handbook of the Social Science of Poverty*. 1. utg. Oxford University Press; 2016. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199914050.013.17

36. Wessel T. Det todelte Oslo – etniske minoriteter i øst og vest. I: Ljunggren J, red. *Oslo : ulikhetenes by*. Cappelen Damm akademisk; 2017:79–98.

37. Wessel T, Brattbakk I. Nabolagets effekt: Kva er problematisk med boligsegregering? I: Ljunggren J, red. *Oslo : ulikhetenes by*. Cappelen Damm akademisk; 2017:291–308.

38. Holmqvist M. Creating and upholding an elite community: ‘Consecrating exclusion’ in Djursholm, Sweden. *The Sociological review (Keele)*. Published online 2021:3802612199178-. doi:10.1177/0038026121991786

Inn: Wilson, William Julius (ed) (2006) “Social Theory and the Concept ‘Underclass.’” Kapittel 5 i Grusky & Kanbur (ed) *Poverty and inequality*, Stanford: Stanford University Press, s.103-117.

Inn: Sampson, Robert J. Neighbourhood effects and beyond: Explaining the paradoxes of inequality in the changing American metropolis. *Urban Studies* 2019, Vol. 56(1) 3–32

Hva er eliter?

UT Michael Hartmann, Kapittel 1,2,3,4 & 6. I: *The sociology of elites*. Bd 50. Routledge; 2007:VIII, 133 s._

41. Hoffmann-Lange U. Methods of Elite Research. I: *The Oxford Handbook of Political Behavior*. Oxford University Press; 2007. doi:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199270125.003.0049

—
INN Mills CW *The power elite* 1956(2000) Oxford university press. Ch1, 6,7, 10, 12 = 124s.

INN Bourdieu, P. The field of power and the division of the labour of domination. In: Denord, F., Palme, M & Réau, B. *Researching elites and power. Theory, methods, analyses*. Springer. P.33-44

[The Field of Power and the Division of the Labour of Domination | SpringerLink](#)

INN Ellersgaard, CH & Larsen, AG. The power elite in the welfare state 2012-2017: Stability and change in the key institutional orders of the core of power networks in Denmark. *Social Forces*. 2023; 102(2): 790-813.

[Power Elite in the Welfare State 2012–2017: Stability and Change in the Key Institutional Orders of the Core of Power Networks in Denmark | Social Forces | Oxford Academic \(oup.com\)](#)

UT Ljunggren J. Elitist Egalitarianism: Negotiating Identity in the Norwegian Cultural Elite. *Sociology*. 2017;51(3):559–574. doi:10.1177/0038038515590755

40. Hansen MN. Self-Made Wealth or Family Wealth?: Changes in Intergenerational Wealth Mobility. *Social Forces*. 2014;93(2):457–481.

UT Aaron Reeves, Sam Friedman, Charles Rahal, Magne Flemmen. The Decline and Persistence of the Old Boy: Private Schools and Elite Recruitment 1897 to 2016. *American sociological review*. 2017;82(6):1139–1166. doi:10.1177/0003122417735742

43. Hanna Kuusela. The hyperopia of wealth: the cultural legitimation of economic inequalities by top earners. *Socio-Economic Review*. Published online 2020:1–24.
<https://academic-oup-com.ezproxy.uio.no/ser/advance-article/doi/10.1093/ser/mwaa047/6032739>
44. Lauren Rivera. Hiring as cultural matching: The case of elite professional service firms. *American Sociological Review*. 1936;77(6):999–1022.
45. Holmqvist M. Creating and upholding an elite community: ‘Consecrating exclusion’ in Djursholm, Sweden. *The Sociological review (Keele)*. Published online 2021:3802612199178-. doi:10.1177/0038026121991786