

## Introduction

AN = Andreas is in the seventh semester representative in the institute council profesjon leader of

BS = Bastion profesjon programutvalg

CH = Christian rep faculty and student organization

Adrian second semester svsu

Tiller programutvalget profesjon 3<sup>rd</sup>

CN = Christian masters in psychology student rep for institute board

Daniel 5<sup>th</sup> semester replacement for andreas program council

Urgent:

## Administration of locker stickers.

- Andreas: The organization that usually sells the locker stickers has closed down and now it is the responsibility of the student council.
- Seems like this semester we should make it free for students to use the lockers. We will prepare for next semester to have some arrangement in place
- Bastion: is there a plan to inform the students?
- Andreas: talk to the administration to send an email to students. Perhaps through posters as well.
- What kind of arrangement do we want to prepare for next semester?
- Programutvalet being responsible for making the locker situation.
- If the fagutvalget did take the locker money, they would need to create routines for gathering the money, cutting locks if needed, a separate association for holding the money.
- Christian H.: suggest that the associations could handle the lockers and then they can keep the money. Put it out in auction for the student associations to organize. There doesn't seem to be a use.
- Bastion: there could be other ways to use it. The profesjon council did seem willing to use the money for benefitting meetings or other aspects of the program council.
- Andreas: if you give the money to the pu's they would have to change the statutes.
- Tiller: Gives us more space to do things that would be nice for the students like getting professors to give more presentations.
- Christian H.: frame it in a way that the money will be used for strengthening the culture and the pu's work.
- Bastion: split the money 50/50
- Christian: changes to rule 6 make it just 6.1.
- CN: Should only be for organizations for psychology
- Tiller: should there be a time clause? So that if we want to change it for future years.
  - In case some other organization wants to try to take responsibility for it.
- CN: what is the exact rule for changing the statutes?
- AN: need to be accepted by both PU leaders. In this meeting we need a majority.

- Should we remove all of 6.2 and 6.2.1? It is when it is given to a specific organization.
- CN: 6.2 limits the powers of who has the power
- BS: it doesn't really limit us because we could choose to keep it all or not there's not obligation.
- AN: the only problematic part is "studentforeninger med veldedige formal"

## Changes to rules

### 3. Fagutvalgets sammensetning

3.1. Fagutvalget består av åtte representanter; lederne av hvert programutvalg, studentrepresentantene i instituttstyret, studentrepresentantene i programrådene og to representanter i Studentutvalget ved Det samfunnsvitenskapelige fakultet (SVSU).

3.2. Fagutvalget velger en leder og en nestleder blant sine medlemmer. Det skal etterstrebes at leder og nestleder representerer hver sitt studieprogram.

3.3. Fagutvalget velger hvert høstsemester to representanter til SVSU for ett år. Representantene velges blant studentene ved PSI.

3.4. Personvalg avgjøres med simpelt flertall. I tilfeller med mer enn to kandidater og stemmelikhet går de to kandidatene med flest stemmer videre til ny stemmerunde. Dersom det i denne runden også er stemmelikhet avgjøres valget ved loddtrekning. I tilfeller med to kandidater og stemmelikhet avgjøres valget ved loddtrekning.

### 6. Bokskap

6.1. Fagutvalget har ansvaret for administrering av bokskapene i Harald Schjelderups hus.

6.2. Fagutvalget har anledning til å overlate administrering, innkreving av betaling og klipping av ubetalte skap til studentorganisasjoner med mål om å fremme studentvelferden eller programutvalgene ved Psykologisk Institutt.

6.2.1. Fagutvalget skal føre tilsyn med en studentforening som har påtatt seg slikt ansvar, og påse at driften ikke er til ulempe for studentene. En forening som påtar seg slikt ansvar skal fremlegge forslag til bokskapsreglement som vedtas av Fagutvalget.

## There are two representatives for SVSU

- AN: should be 8 not 9. They are going to have two representatives for SVSU. There should be a full review for this once every year to spot errors.

Voted 8 out of 8 to pass this.

Updates:

## Institute council meeting

- CH: 17 minute meeting, very quickly went over who was hired. Orientation of election process, they are two weeks overdue for statements. Next week there is a student meeting Friday 20<sup>th</sup>. Prepare good questions for the candidates.
- Luis: discussed the election questions
- Tiller: discussed the graduation ceremony. The locker sticker organization. Discussed new ways to get students involved in elections
- BS: orientations on effects of overbooking different program because they allow more students than they are able to keep. They thought it was about 50% but it is actually 60%. They had a goal to get it down to 50%. In profesjon master there's not as much but between 20% and 30% drop out. A lot of the teachers complain they aren't able to handle that many students. From higher up there are demands that they have to have a certain number of psychologists. Since they expect a lot of them to drop out. They see a lot of people drop out in bachelor because they don't go to mandatory courses almost 30%.
- CN: They didn't even register for the class
- BS: Increasing number of teaching assistants. Also money to develop teaching. Get a better graduation ceremony. Rent the aula that other clinical studies are able to use. Now that other clinical programs have used it. It costs 10.000 kroner for security reasons. Unwillingness from the institute to pay for this. They want to use money to improve the situation here.
- CH: people don't have a feeling of welcoming here.
- Tiller: want to feel connected when they are leaving.
- BS: perhaps boycotting the ceremony now will help convince institute to use the aula. Agreed to take it back to the council to have a vote on where we should be moved.
- CH: gives a picture of what students feel about this building. Make more student areas pub, seminar rooms, reading areas.
- CN: 40.000 kroner is only using money from all the bachelors, masters, and clinical studies.
- BS: even when said the PU's would do the work. They want to do it themselves. What is it that draws them here? The response wasn't very clear, it depends more planning (not really an issue), the biggest problem was that they want to have it here.
- CN: interesting that they want us to stay as, there have been pushes to push students out of the building.
- Adrian: not sure if a boycott would actually help if they went through with the planning and then no one appreciates it.
- BS: a boycott shows the true emotion of the students and will have a bigger impact on the institute. Start a new subject called, psychology of law, together with law department. Concerns of overlap with other programs.
- CN: not SVSU meeting. Still busy in setting meeting times and general organization. The representative picked decided he didn't have. Going to have first meeting on Monday need a representative for forum.
- AN: problems with the simple list for the emails that the fagutvalget

## Records keeping for previous and future meetings admins

- AN: forgotten to publish the records for the last 3 meetings.

## Elect a new financial controller

AN: someone that looks over the budget, answer the mails and talk to the administration. Connection between this council and the administration.

BS: split some of the jobs up

AN: could end up making it more work.

Luis: volunteer to be the financial controller.

Voted 8 out of 8.

## Giving money to organizations

AN: There is a trip association that wants to go to Belgium to the mental health Europe. Asking for 10.000. the money will go towards making the trip more affordable and going.

CN: ask for a more detailed budget.

BS: Email them in looking for a specific definition of where they are going to use the money

AN: We support the use of the money for hostel, plane tickets, or train tickets.

CN: they need to provide receipts.

AN wrote a response to trip organization.

## Money to Hivemind

- AN: the
- CH: the institute needs to send her the money, we could ask the institute. Hasn't gotten the money back
- CN: we should contact them on her behalf.

## Enrique the cafeteria man

- AN: SIO is moving Enrique.
- BS: If students don't give a lot of support we probably shouldn't do it on behalf of the student body. Better if we individually support him rather than as the PU or FU.
- CH: individual letters have not been responded to.
- AN: the PU should gather support first and then the FU will join in

Meeting adjourned.